## Exercises to Prepare for SVM and Lagrangian Lectures

David S. Rosenberg

## 1 Equivalent Optimization Problems

Suppose we have two functions  $f : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$  and  $g : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ . And suppose we have the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} f(x) + g(x).$$

This is an unconstrained optimization problem. Now consider the following constrained optimization problem:

This problem is "equivalent" 'to the following problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & f(x) + \xi\\ \text{subject to} & \xi \ge g(x). \end{array}$$

When an optimization problem is presented in this form, it should be understood as a minimization over all variables that are unknown. This case, we are minimizing over  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ .

We now claim that these two problems are "equivalent" in the following sense:

- Suppose the second problem attains a minimum at (x\*, ξ\*), and that minimum is M. Then the first problem also has a minimum value of M and it is attained at x\*. [It follows that ξ\* = g(x\*).]
- Conversely, if the first problem attains a minimum at  $x^*$ , then there is a  $\xi^*$  for which  $(x^*, \xi^*)$  is a minimizer of the second problem, and the minimum values are the same.

**Exercise 1.** Convince yourself that these two problems are equivalent. [Hint/Answer: In the second problem, for any fixed value of x, the objective is always minimized (subject to the constraint) by  $\xi = g(x)$ .

Exercise 2. Recall the definition of the "positive part" of a number:

$$(x)_{+} = x1(x \ge 0) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Convince yourself that the problem

$$\min_{w \in \mathbf{R}^{d}} f(w) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - y_{i} \left[ w^{T} x_{i} + b \right] \right)_{+}$$

is equivalent to

minimize 
$$f(w) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i$$
  
subject to  $\xi_i \ge \left(1 - y_i \left[w^T x_i + b\right]\right)_+$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

which is equivalent to

minimize 
$$f(w) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i$$
  
subject to 
$$\xi_i \ge 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$
  
$$\xi_i \ge 1 - y_i \left[ w^T x_i + b \right] \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Exercise 3.** Convince yourself that the following two optimization problems are equivalent. First problem:

minimize 
$$f(x)$$
  
subject to  $x_i + \alpha_i = c$  for  $i = 1, ..., n$ ,  
 $x_i \ge 0, \ \alpha_i \ge 0$  for  $i = 1, ..., n$ ,

for some known c.

Second problem:

minimize 
$$f(x)$$
  
subject to  $x_i \in [0, c]$  for  $i = 1, ..., n$ .

(Hint: Figure out what value  $\alpha_i$  is for any given  $x_i$ . And what constraints to we need on  $x_i$  to satisfy the constraints, and so that the corresponding  $\alpha_i$  also satisfies its constraints?)

## 2 Lagrangian Encodes Objective and Constraints

First some shorthand: If  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , we write  $\lambda \succeq 0$  as a shorthand for  $\lambda_i \ge 0$   $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . Similarly, if  $c \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , then  $\lambda \succeq c$  is shorthand for  $\lambda - c \succeq 0$ .

We claim that

$$\sup_{\lambda \succeq 0} \left( f(x) + \lambda g(x) \right) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } g(x) \le 0\\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 4.** Convince yourself that this is true. (Hint: Find the sup when  $g(x) \leq 0$  and when g(x) > 0.)

**Exercise 5.** Show that the following optimization problems are equivalent:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & g(x) \le 0 \end{array}$$

is equivalent to

$$\inf_{x} \left( \sup_{\lambda \succeq 0} \left( f(x) + \lambda g(x) \right) \right).$$

Hint/Solution: Based on the previous exerise, if g(x) > 0 (i.e. x is "not feasible" for the first optimization problem), then  $\sup_{\lambda \succeq 0} (f(x) + \lambda g(x)) = \infty$ . So the infimum of the second optimization problem will not occur at any x where g(x) > 0. Thus the following problem is equivalent to the second problem:

$$\inf_{\{x|g(x)\leq 0\}} \left( \sup_{\lambda \succeq 0} \left( f(x) + \lambda g(x) \right) \right).$$

But when  $g(x) \leq 0$ , we know from the previous exercise that the supremum evalutes to f(x). Thus the second optimization problem is also equivalent to

$$\inf_{\{x|g(x)\leq 0\}} f(x),$$

and this is exactly the first optimization problem.