Support Vector Machines: Consequences of Lagrangian Duality

David S. Rosenberg

New York University

February 13, 2018

Contents

The SVM as a Quadratic Program

The Margin

Definition

The **margin** (or **functional margin**) for predicted score $\hat{y}$ and true class $y \in \{-1, 1\}$ is $y\hat{y}$.

- The margin often looks like $yf(x)$, where $f(x)$ is our score function.
- The margin is a measure of how **correct** we are.
- We want to **maximize the margin**.
- Most classification losses depend only on the margin.

Hinge Loss

- SVM/Hinge loss: $\ell_{\text{Hinge}} = \max\{1 - m, 0\}$
- Margin $m = yf(x)$



Hinge is a **convex**, **upper bound** on $0-1$ loss. Not differentiable at $m = 1$.
We have a **"margin error"** when $m < 1$.

Support Vector Machine

- Hypothesis space $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(x) = w^T x + b \mid w \in \mathbf{R}^d,\ b \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$.
- ℓ_2 regularization (Tikhonov style)
- Loss $\ell(m) = \max\{1 - m, 0\}$
- The SVM prediction function is the solution to

$$\min_{w \in \mathbf{R}^d, b \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\left(0, 1 - y_i\left[w^T x_i + b\right]\right).$$

- (In SVMs it's common to put the regularization parameter c on the empirical risk part, rather than on the ℓ^2 penalty part.)

SVM Optimization Problem (Tikhonov Version)

The SVM prediction function is the solution to

$$\min_{w \in \mathbf{R}^d, b \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\left(0, 1 - y_i\left[w^T x_i + b\right]\right).$$

- unconstrained optimization
- **not differentiable** because of the max (right at the border of a margin error)
- Can we reformulate into a differentiable problem?

SVM Optimization Problem

- The SVM optimization problem is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{minimize} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \\
\text{subject to} \quad & \xi_i \geqslant \max\left(0, 1 - y_i\left[w^T x_i + b\right]\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

- Which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{minimize} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \\
\text{subject to} \quad & \xi_i \geqslant \left(1 - y_i\left[w^T x_i + b\right]\right) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \\
& \xi_i \geqslant 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

SVM as a Quadratic Program

- The SVM optimization problem is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i \\
\text{subject to} & -\xi_i \leqslant 0 \text{ for } i = 1,\ldots,n \\
& \left(1 - y_i\left[w^T x_i + b\right]\right) - \xi_i \leqslant 0 \text{ for } i = 1,\ldots,n
\end{array}
$$

- Differentiable objective function
- $n + d + 1$ unknowns and $2n$ affine constraints.
- A quadratic program that can be solved by any off-the-shelf QP solver.
- Let's learn more by examining the dual.

Lagrangian Duality for SVM

The SVM Dual Problem

- Following recipe and with some algebra, the SVM dual problem is equivalent to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\alpha} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_j^T x_i \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\
& \alpha_i \in \left[0, \frac{c}{n}\right] \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.
\end{aligned}
$$

- Let α^* be solution to this optimization problem (the **dual optimal point**).
- Can show that the SVM solution is

$$
w^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^* y_i x_i
$$

- w^* is "in the **span of the data**" – i.e. a linear combination of $x_1, \ldots, x_n$.

The Margin and Some Terminology

- For notational convenience, define $f^*(x) = x^T w^* + b^*$.
- Margin $yf^*(x)$



- Incorrect classification: $yf^*(x) \leqslant 0$.
- Margin error: $yf^*(x) < 1$.
- "On the margin": $yf^*(x) = 1$.
- "Good side of the margin": $yf^*(x) > 1$.

Complementary Slackness Results: Summary

- SVM optimal parameter is $w^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* y_i x_i$.
- We can derive the following relations from complementary slackness conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_i^* = 0 \quad &\implies \quad y_i f^*(x_i) \geqslant 1 \\
\alpha_i^* \in \left(0, \frac{c}{n}\right) \quad &\implies \quad y_i f^*(x_i) = 1 \\
\alpha_i^* = \frac{c}{n} \quad &\implies \quad y_i f^*(x_i) \leqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_i f^*(x_i) < 1 \quad &\implies \quad \alpha_i^* = \frac{c}{n} \\
y_i f^*(x_i) = 1 \quad &\implies \quad \alpha_i^* \in \left[0, \frac{c}{n}\right] \\
y_i f^*(x_i) > 1 \quad &\implies \quad \alpha_i^* = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Support Vectors

- If α^* is a solution to the dual problem, then primal solution is

$$w^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^* y_i x_i$$

  with $\alpha_i^* \in [0, \frac{c}{n}]$.
- The x_i's corresponding to $\alpha_i^* > 0$ are called **support vectors.**
- Few margin errors or "on the margin" examples $\Longrightarrow$ **sparsity in input examples**.
- This becomes important when we get to **kernelized SVMs**.

Teaser for Kernelization

Dual Problem: Dependence on x through inner products

- SVM Dual Problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\alpha} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_j^T x_i \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\
& \alpha_i \in \left[0, \frac{c}{n}\right] \; i = 1, \ldots, n.
\end{aligned}
$$

- Note that all dependence on inputs x_i and x_j is through their inner product: $\langle x_j, x_i \rangle = x_j^T x_i$.
- We can replace $x_j^T x_i$ by any other inner product...
- This is a "kernelized" objective function.