Shapley Values

David S. Rosenberg

NYU: CDS

April 28, 2021

Contents

1 [Shapley Values](#page-2-0)

2 [Shapley Values for Feature Importance](#page-14-0)

3 [Shapley values for prediction functions](#page-21-0)

[Shapley Values](#page-2-0)

Coalitional game¹

- Suppose there is a game played by a team (or "coalition") of players.
- A coalition game is
	- a set N consisting of n "players" and
	- a function $v: 2^{\mathsf{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$, with $v(\emptyset) = 0$, assigning a value to any subset of players.
- \bullet Think of N as a team. Maybe they're trying to solve a puzzle together...
	- Says how well a subset of the team would have done, cooperating on the puzzle.
- Suppose the whole team plays and gets value $v(N)$.
- Show should that value be allocated to the individuals on the team?
- Is there a fair way to do it that reflects the contributions of each individual?

¹Based on [Shapley value](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shapley_value) article in Wikipedia [\[Wik20\]](#page-32-0) and [\[MP08\]](#page-31-0).

- Where we're headed here is that we're going to apply this approach of "value allocation" to "coalitions" of feature "working together" to produce the final output.
- Of course, it's not really clear what it means to use a subset of features with a specific prediction function $f(x)$.
- Various approaches to this will give us different feature interpretations.

Solutions to coalition games

- Let $\mathcal{G}(N)$ denote the set of all coalition games on set N.
	- i.e. a game for every possible $v:2^{\mathsf{N}}\to \mathbb{R}.$
- A solution to the allocation problem on the set $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N})$ is a map $\Phi:\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N})\to\mathbb{R}^n$
	- o gives the allocation to each of *n* players for any game $v \in \mathcal{G}(N)$.
- Next we'll give a particular solution, the Shapley value solution.
- Then we'll give various properties that seem desirable for a solution.
- Finally, we'll state a theorem that says the Shapley value solution
	- is the unique solution satisfying these properties.

The Shapley value solution

The Shapley value solution is $\Phi(v) = (\phi_i(v))_{i=1}^n$ where

$$
\varphi_i(v) = \sum_{S \subset (N-\{i\})} k_{|S|,n} (v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)),
$$

where $k_{s,n} = s! (n-s-1)!/n!$.

- In words, for any game $v \in \mathcal{G}(N)$, player *i* receives $\phi_i(v)$.
	- You can show that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(v) = v(N)$.

• Equivalently,

$$
\Phi_i(v) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_R \left[v(P_i^R \cup \{i\}) - v(P_i^R) \right],
$$

- where sum ranges over all $n!$ permutations R of the players in N.
- P_i^R is the set of players in N that precede *i* in order R.
- The second version can be explained by the "room parable" [\[MP08,](#page-31-0) p. 6]: Players enter a room one at a time to form the team of n players. Each player receives the marginal contribution of their presence (could be negative). If all orders of entering the room have the same probability, then $\phi_i(v)$ is the expected value of how much player *i* receives.
- Yet another way to write the Shapley value is as

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\Phi_i(v) & = & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{S \subset (N-\{i\}) \text{ and } |S|=s} \binom{n-1}{s}^{-1} \left[v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S) \right] \\
& = & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \text{ size of coalition coalition } \text{excluding } i \text{ of size } s} \frac{\text{marginal contribution of } i \text{ to the coalition}}{\text{number of coalitions of size } s \text{ excluding } i}.\n\end{array}
$$

Efficiency and symmetry properties

• Efficiency: For any $v \in \mathcal{G}(N)$,

$$
\sum_{i\in N}\Phi_i(v)=v(N).
$$

Symmetry: For any $v \in \mathcal{G}(N)$, if players i and i are equivalent in the sense that

 $v(S \cup \{i\}) = v(S \cup \{i\})$

for every subset S of players that excludes i and j , then

 $\phi_i(v) = \phi_i(v)$.

Also called "equal treatment of equals".

Linearity property

• Linearity: For any $v, w \in \mathcal{G}(N)$, we have

$$
\phi_i(v + w) = \phi_i(v) + \phi_i(w)
$$

for every player *i* in N. Also, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\varphi_i(av) = a\varphi_i(v)
$$

for every player i in N .

(This will be useful for prediction functions that are linear combinations of other functions, such as gradient boosted regression trees.)

- A player *i* is null in v if $v(S \cup \{i\}) = v(S)$ for all coalitions $S \subset N$.
- If player *i* is null in a game v, then $\phi_i(v) = 0$.
- (In the context of machine learning, for some reason they call this the Dummy property.)

Shapley value theorem (Shapley, 1953)

Theorem

The Shapley value solution $\Phi(v) = (\phi_i(v))_i^n$ $\binom{n}{i=1}$ defined previously is the unique solution for $G(N)$ that satisfies the

- **•** efficiency, symmetry, linearity, and null properties.
- Proof: See references.

Example: Shapley values for constant game

- Suppose $v(S) \equiv c$ for any coalition $S \subset N$, except $v(\emptyset) = 0$.
- Then for any $i, j \in N$, $S \subset (N \{i, j\})$, we have

 $v(S \cup \{i\}) = v(S \cup \{i\}) = c$,

which implies $\phi_1(v) = \cdots = \phi_n(v)$ by the symmetry property.

• By the efficiency property,

$$
\sum_{i\in N}\Phi_i(v)=v(N)=c.
$$

• Therefore, $\phi_1(v) = \cdots = \phi_n(v) = c/n$.

Example: game plus a constant

- Suppose we have a game $v(S)$ on N
	- with Shapley values $\phi_1(v), \ldots, \phi_n(v)$.
- Suppose we shift the rewards, so $v'(S) := v(S) + c$.
- What are the Shapely values for $v'(S)$?
- Let $w(S) \equiv c$ for $S \subset N$, except $w(\emptyset) = 0$.
- Then $v'(S) = v(S) + w(S)$ and by linearity,

$$
\Phi_i(v') = \Phi_i(v+w) = \Phi_i(v) + \Phi_i(w) = \Phi_i(v) + \frac{c}{n}.
$$

So if we shift by a constant, the shift is divided equally among the players.

[Shapley Values for Feature Importance](#page-14-0)

Shapley values for features

- \bullet Shapley values are about *n*-player games.
- \bullet In particular, they are about set functions on a set of n elements.
- How can we connect this to the feature importance in machine learning?
- Easy part: each "player" is a feature.
- Hard part: what's the set function?
- We have a prediction function,
	- but it doesn't naturally apply to subsets of features.
- What if we start earlier:
	- building a model with a subset of features

Attribute R^2 to features

APPLIED STOCHASTIC MODELS IN BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY Appl. Stochastic Models Bus. Ind., 2001; 17:319-330 (DOI: 10.1002/asmb. 446)

Analysis of regression in game theory approach

Stan Lipovetsky^{*,†} and Michael Conklin

Custom Research Inc., 8401 Golden Valley Road, Minneapolis, MN 55427, U.S.A.

- An early application of Shapley values to machine learning [\[LC01\]](#page-31-1).
- Applied Shapley values to allocate the \mathcal{R}^2 performance measure to features
	- for linear regression, though we'll present the obvious generalization.
- Essentially the same approach was actually done much earlier,
	- without making the connection to Shapley values, e.g. [\[Kru87\]](#page-31-2).

Attribute model performance to features

- Let $R(f)$ be some performance measure of a prediction function f.
- Let $A: \mathcal{D} \mapsto f$ represent a model training algorithm that
	- takes a training dataset D and
	- \bullet produces a prediction function f .
- Let $\{1,\ldots,d\}$ index the features available for a problem.
- Let \mathcal{D}_S denote the dataset with just the features indexed by $S \subset \{1,\ldots,d\}$.
- Define the set function $v(S) := R(A(\mathcal{D}_S))$ and $v(\emptyset) = 0$.
- For any subset of features, $v(S)$ gives
	- the performance of the model trained on just that subset of features.

Lipovetsky and Conklin (2001)

• In [\[LC01\]](#page-31-1),

- performance measure was R^2
- model class was linear models.
- They used only 7 features, and linear models train quickly,
	- so computation wasn't an issue.
- Generally speaking, need to train 2^d models.
- Not practical in most machine learning settings.

• The Shapley values in our scenario are

$$
\phi_i(v) = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_R \left[v(P_i^R \cup \{i\}) - v(P_i^R) \right],
$$

- where sum ranges over all $n!$ permutations R of the players in N.
- P_i^R is the set of players in N that precede *i* in order R.
- \bullet We can approximate this by averaging a random sample of M permutations.
- \bullet This still requires training Md models, which may not be practical for large d.
- \bullet This whole approach is only realistic when d is small and training and evaluation are fast.
- This approach is most related to LOCO from an earlier module.
- We're not saying anything about a particular prediction function.
- We're saying something about the importance of each feature
	- in a particular dataset,
	- for a particular model training procedure

[Shapley values for prediction functions](#page-21-0)

Interpreting a prediction function

- Suppose we want to use Shapley values
	- to interpret a particular prediction function $f(x)$.
- \bullet It's not obvious what it means to evaluate f using a subset of features.
- This is not a standard operation in machine learning.
- Let's write x_S for the features corresponding to $S \subset \{1,\ldots,d\}$.
- Let's write x_C for the features corresponding to the complement $\{1,\ldots,d\}-S$.
- So if $f(x) = f(x_S, x_C)$, we need a definition for $f_S(x_S)$.

Two approaches to defining $f_S(x_S)$

- Two approaches, as described by [\[CJLL20,](#page-31-3) [JMB19\]](#page-31-4).
- Conditional expectation (or "observational conditional expectation")

$$
f_S(x_S) \ := \ \mathbb{E}\left[f(x_S, X_C) \,|\, X_S = x_S\right].
$$

Marginal expectation (or "interventional conditional expectation")

$$
f_S(x_S) := \mathbb{E}[f(x_S, X_C)]
$$

= $\mathbb{E}[f(x_S, X_C) | do(X_S = x_S)],$

where the do-operator is beyond our scope, but see [\[JMB19\]](#page-31-4).

- Conditional expectation keeps us evaluation $f(x₅,x_C)$ on the data manifold.
- Marginal expectation will potentially evaluate $f(x_S, x_C)$ off the data manifold,
	- when we have dependencies between x_S and x_C .

Estimating $f_S(x_S)$

- We generally don't know the joint distribution of X .
	- so we can't directly compute the expectations in $f_S(x_S)$.
- For the marginal expectation, we can use the same approach as for partial dependency:

$$
\hat{f}_S(x_S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_S, x_{Ci}),
$$

where $(x_{C1},...,x_{Cn})$ are the *n* instantiations of x_C in a dataset D .

- For consistency, we'll also define $\hat{f}_{\emptyset} = \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$.
- For conditional expectation, this estimation is much more challenging.
	- In general, seems to require training 2^d regression models.
	- But we'll give one approximation in the next module on SHAP.

Shapley values for prediction function

- Suppose we have an estimate $\hat{f}_\mathcal{S}(\mathsf{x}_\mathcal{S})$ for each $\mathcal{S}\subset\{1,\ldots,d\}.$
- Then we can define the set function for our "game" on $\{1,\ldots,d\}$ as

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\nv(S) & := & \hat{f}_S(x_S) \\
v(\emptyset) & := & 0.\n\end{array}
$$

• Frequently it's defined as

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\nv(S) & := & \hat{f}_S(x_S) - \hat{f}_\emptyset \\
v(\emptyset) & := & 0.\n\end{array}
$$

- That way, Shapley values indicate how each feature
	- pulls the prediction away from the mean / "no information" prediction.

Estimating Shapley values for prediction function

Let's return to the permutation formulation of Shapley value:

$$
\phi_i(v) = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_R \left[v(P_i^R \cup \{i\}) - v(P_i^R) \right].
$$

- Let's take $v(S) = \mathbb{E}[f(x_S, X_C)].$
- The idea is do a Monte Carlo estimate of both the sum over R
	- as well as the expectation in $v(S)$
	- a at the same time.
- We'll randomly sample a permutation R.
- Then we'll randomly sample an X_C
	- \bullet (which depends on R and *i* to determine the relevant features).
- Plugging this together, we'll get an unbiased estimate $\phi_i(v)$.
- The more samples, the better the estimate.

Approximate Shapley value, Monte Carlo approach (I)

- **o** Given:
	- \bullet point x ,
	- \bullet feature index *i*.
	- prediction function $f(x)$,
	- dataset D with a sample of X 's,
- **o** Draw a random instance Z from D
- Draw a random permutation R of $\{1,\ldots,d\}$.

This presentation is based on [\[Mol19,](#page-31-5) Sec 5.9]

Approximate Shapley value, Monte Carlo approach (II)

• Order the features of x and z by R :

$$
x_R = (x_{(1)},...,x_{(j)},...,x_{(d)})
$$

\n
$$
Z_R = (Z_{(1)},...,Z_{(j)},...,Z_{(d)})
$$

• Construct new instances:

With feature *j*:
$$
X_{+j} = (x_{(1)},...,x_{(j)}, Z_{(j+1)},..., Z_{(d)})
$$

Without feature *j*: $X_{-j} = (x_{(1)},...,x_{(j-1)}, Z_{(j)},..., Z_{(d)})$

• Then [\[ŠK14\]](#page-32-1)

$$
\mathbb{E}_{R,Z}[f(X_{+j}) - f(X_{-j})] = \phi_i(v)
$$

 \bullet So we can get arbitrarily good estimates of $\phi_i(v)$ by averaging a large number of these unbiased estimates.

David S. Rosenberg (NYU: CDS) [Shapley Values](#page-0-0) April 28, 2021 27 / 31

This presentation is based on [\[Mol19,](#page-31-5) Sec 5.9]

[References](#page-29-0)

The most common citation for the proof of the Shapley value theorem is Shapley's paper [\[Sha53\]](#page-32-2). These [slides](https://www.lamsade.dauphine.fr/~airiau/Teaching/CoopGames/2012/coopgames-7[8up].pdf) provide a proof of the Shapley value theorem, and I think the first few sections of [\[MP08\]](#page-31-0) are easier to read than Shapley's paper.

References I

[CJLL20] Hugh Chen, Joseph D. Janizek, Scott Lundberg, and Su-In Lee, True to the model or true to the data?, CoRR (2020).

[JMB19] Dominik Janzing, Lenon Minorics, and Patrick Blöbaum, Feature relevance quantification in explainable ai: a causal problem, CoRR (2019).

- [Kru87] William Kruskal, Relative importance by averaging over orderings, The American Statistician 41 (1987), no. 1, 6–10.
- [LC01] Stan Lipovetsky and Michael Conklin, Analysis of regression in game theory approach, Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry 17 (2001), no. 4, 319–330.
- [Mol19] Christoph Molnar, Interpretable machine learning, bookdown.org, 2019, <https://christophm.github.io/interpretable-ml-book/>.

[MP08] Stefano Moretti and Fioravante Patrone, Transversality of the shapley value, TOP 16 (2008), no. 1, 1–41.

- [Sha53] L. S. Shapley, 17. a value for n-person games, Contributions to the Theory of Games (AM-28), Volume II, ch. 17, pp. 307–318, Princeton University Press, 1953.
- [ŠK14] Erik Štrumbelj and Igor Kononenko, Explaining prediction models and individual predictions with feature contributions, Knowledge and Information Systems 41 (2014), no. 3, 647–665.
- [Wik20] Wikipedia contributors, Shapley value — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2020, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shapley_value; accessed 26-April-2021].